

**MINUTES OF MEETING  
REUNION WEST  
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT**

The regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the Reunion West Community Development District was held on Thursday, **April 13, 2023** at 11:00 a.m. via Zoom Communication Media Technology and at the Heritage Crossing Community Center, 7715 Heritage Crossing Way, Reunion, Florida.

Present and constituting a quorum:

Graham Staley	Chairman
Sharon Harley	Vice Chair
Michael Barry	Assistant Secretary
Mark Greenstein	Assistant Secretary
William (Bill) Witcher	Assistant Secretary

Also present were:

Tricia Adams	District Manager
Kristen Trucco	District Counsel
Steve Boyd	District Engineer
Alan Scheerer	Field Manager
Victor Vargas	Reunion Security
Pete Wittman	Yellowstone Landscape Services
Garrett Huegel	Yellowstone Landscape Services
John Dryburgh ( <i>via Zoom</i> )	Reunion East CDD Board Member
June Wispelwey	Reunion East CDD Board Member
Steve Goldstein	Reunion East CDD Board Member
Trudy Hobbs	Reunion East CDD Board Member
Lieutenant Donald MacAllaster	Osceola County Sheriff's Office Traffic Unit

*The following is a summary of the discussions and actions taken at the April 13, 2023 Reunion West Community Development District Board of Supervisors meeting.*

**FIRST ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Roll Call**

Ms. Adams called the meeting to order at 11:05 a.m. and called the roll. All Supervisors were present, with the exception of Ms. Harley who was not present at roll call.

**SECOND ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Public Comment Period**

Ms. Adams opened the public comment period for traffic enforcement. A Resident named Victoria voiced concern regarding vehicles parked backwards in the alley behind her house and residents using ATVs. Resident Richard Holmes of Grand Traverse Parkway thanked Lieutenant MacAllaster for attending and questioned what could be enforced in Reunion as he heard two stories; one was that they had public roads and as citizens of Osceola County, they would be entitled to all forms of law enforcement including traffic enforcement and two, the Osceola County Police Department would enforce criminal actions, but they must pay a fee to the Osceola County Sheriff's Department for traffic enforcement. Resident Heather McGough of 1927 Jack Nicklaus Court asked if golf carts were permitted on their roads, who could drive them and if they needed to be registered and requested that a list of numbers to call when there were traffic issues, be distributed to homeowners.

Ms. Adams explained that most of the roadways within the Reunion community were owned by either the Reunion East CDD or Reunion West CDD and were public. The District was prohibited from engaging in traffic enforcement activities and it was the responsibility of the Osceola County Sheriff's Office. The District communicated this information to residents through the HOA electronic mail messaging system and provided the phone number for the traffic enforcement hotline at the suggestion of Lieutenant MacAllaster from Osceola County Sheriff's Office. Mr. Staley confirmed that notification was sent by the HOA on March 30<sup>th</sup>. Ms. Adams pointed out that some roadways within the District such as alleys and parking lots as well as roads within Bears Den community, were privately owned. The District adopted Parking Rules in accordance with the Florida Statutes, which identify tow away zones. Vehicles improperly parked would be subject to immediate towing. Reunion Security enforced the District's parking rules. Ms. Trucco added that the gates were soft gates and they were not permitted to restrict any member of the public from entering the community because the roads were financed with tax exempt bonds. Under Chapter 316, the operation of golf carts on public roads were prohibited unless a municipality or county deemed a road permissible for golf cart use. Supervisor Steve Goldstein of the Reunion East CDD Board asked if this included landscape and maintenance vehicles. Ms. Trucco noted an exception for low-speed vehicles. There being no further comments, Ms. Adams closed the public comment period.

*Ms. Harley joined the meeting.*

**THIRD ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Osceola County Traffic Enforcement  
Presentation**

Ms. Adams introduced Lieutenant Donald MacAllaster of the Osceola County Sheriff's Office Traffic Unit, who oversees all traffic enforcement operations for the county. He has been with the Osceola County Sheriff's Office for 24 years, with 14 years in traffic enforcement and was working with Ms. Adams to provide clarification between private and public roads and ensure that signage was correct. There were some signs in the Reunion West Encore neighborhood that were blue, indicating that they were private roads, even though it was a public roadway. All signs in Reunion were green on the main roadways, meaning they were enforceable, but if the signs were wrong, any ticket they wrote could be dismissed. Lieutenant MacAllaster's main focus regarding traffic enforcement was to reduce fatalities. However, they were limited in resources as Osceola County was 1,600 square miles and their current staffing was 18 people including him and his assistant. Four positions handled aggressive driving and two handled DUIs. Their main focus was on the major roadways: I-4 to Highway 60 east to 192 and Narcoossee Road. They utilized the website Signal Four Analytics, which identified traffic crashes; however, there was a two-month delay in reporting due to statutory requirements. There were four fatalities on Old Lake Wilson Road in the last two months. They had grants for pedestrian enforcement and education along 192 and a smart grant for anyone riding a motorcycle, providing a full day of instruction for better riding skills with certified instructors and motorcycle enforcement throughout the county. There was also a grant for distracted driving, which caused 1,000 citations to be issued in Week 4. Reunion had complaints in the county for traffic enforcement. They could not do much with alleyways because they were privately owned and recommended that the HOA or CDD provide information to contractors and vendors. Golf carts were difficult to enforce, as golf carts could be turned into low-speed vehicles. The City of St. Cloud permitted golf carts, but for a golf cart to be on a roadway, the driver must be licensed.

Supervisor Goldstein asked if they would provide traffic enforcement. Lieutenant MacAllaster noted that there were several communities who requested additional enforcement, including the Celebration CDD, which had selected times for traffic enforcement. The deputies must be certified. Indian Wells recently added traffic enforcement to deal with parking issues. He handled areas where there were complaints first, providing speed radar trailers. However, they only had two speed radar trailers, but worked with the county to install them and obtain the traffic

data, so that he could provide enforcement during that timeframe. Lieutenant MacAllaster wanted to focus his resources in communities where 50% of the complaints were for speeding. In one community, there were three or four fatalities in the last two months. They were seeing an influx of motorcycles and stunt driving and worked with the St. Cloud Police Department and the Florida Highway Patrol for Orange County. He encouraged residents to call the traffic enforcement hotline for any issues.

Discussion ensued by the Reunion West CDD and Reunion East CDD Boards. Mr. Staley asked if it was helpful to have a specific type of enforcement. Lieutenant MacAllaster pointed out that the more specificity they had, the easier it was for them to handle a specific problem. Mr. Staley asked if they could have more routine patrols in the community. Lieutenant MacAllaster would contact the Captain for the west side of the county, Captain Williamson. Mr. Barry asked if the Osceola County Sheriff's Office could enforce underage kids on golf carts. Lieutenant MacAllaster stated they could enforce traffic law violations for golf carts on a roadway. Mr. Staley asked if Reunion Security could stop the golf cart for something egregious. Mr. Vargas indicated that Reunion Security had no enforcement jurisdiction for golf carts on a private road, but they did follow drivers of golf carts to their home and talk to the parents. Supervisor Wispelwey asked if golf carts could drive on sidewalks. Lieutenant MacAllaster pointed out that golf carts were only permitted on cart paths if they were crossing from one green to another on a golf course. Mr. Goldstein questioned the penalty for driving a golf cart on the street, even if the driver had a license and lights on the golf cart. Lieutenant MacAllaster confirmed that a moving violation was \$166 and a non-moving violation was \$16. If they were un-licensed, it was a criminal infraction. Mr. Witcher questioned why Reunion Security could deal with parking and towing violations, but not golf cart violations. Ms. Trucco explained that Reunion Security could not enforce golf carts on public property under Chapter 190, but had the limited power to enforce parking and towing under the Districts rules.

A Resident on Gathering Drive asked if Reunion Security could enforce golf cart rentals under the rental agreement, as 90% of the abuse was from golf cart rentals. Ms. Trucco advised that the rental agreement was not with the CDD and many were third-party golf carts. The Resident felt that drivers of third-party golf carts should have insurance and registration or not be allowed to drive them in the community. Ms. Trucco pointed out that they could not restrict third-parties from using their roads because they were financed with tax exempt bonds. However, several

county ordinances were passed to allow golf cart use in CDDs, such as Celebration, but in Reunion, the roads were owned by the CDD and Celebration's roads were owned by the county. Reunion did not pass a rule to allow golf carts on the roads. The Resident questioned the criteria for using a low-speed vehicle. Lieutenant MacAllaster explained that it must be registered with the State of Florida as a low-speed vehicle and the community must be certified for the use of low-speed vehicles. A Resident was told that they could not convert their golf cart to a low-speed vehicle. Mr. Goldstein asked if people purchasing low-speed vehicles and driving them on their roads were legal. Lieutenant MacAllaster replied no, but they did not enforce them if the community met the requirements for the use of a low-speed vehicle.

Ms. Adams opened the floor to comments from the Reunion West CDD Board Members. Mr. Witcher requested that Ms. Trucco provide information about low-speed vehicles as he was unaware that the community needed to have a designation allowing the use of them. Mr. Barry questioned how often the Osceola County Sheriff's Office patrolled the community. Lieutenant MacAllaster stated they did not regularly patrol the community; however, if they had speeding issues, they could provide selective traffic enforcement. Mr. Barry understood that because there were so many golf carts in the community and Kingwood had many maintenance vehicles, no one would be arrested or issued a ticket, as long as everyone abided by the laws. Lieutenant MacAllaster was not aware of it, but the Records Department could verify whether any citations were issued. Mr. Greenstein felt that intelligent questions were being asked and the best legal answers were being provided, supported Mr. Witcher's recommendation to pursue low-speed authorization for this community and suggested, in the interim, Reunion Security keep children off of golf carts, following the driver to their home and talking to the parents about appropriate vehicle operation, refreshing signs and issuing a public service announcement.

Ms. Harley noticed third-party golf carts coming into the community during certain periods of time and recalled that each resident signed an additional terms and condition sheet stating that they could not rent golf carts from third-parties. Ms. Adams clarified that was on behalf of a private enterprise and the CDD's position was that these were public roadways and they could not restrict access. Mr. Staley felt that Kingwood and the management company could stop it through a contractual arrangement. Ms. Harley noted they could levy fines, but residents would pay them, which did not resolve the issue and warned that the way they policed the golf carts would impact residents. Mr. Staley recommended issuing a regular public safety announcement about traffic

enforcement. He also suggested Reunion Security provides two patrol officers to monitor the abuse of golf carts by children. Ms. Trucco would provide Chapter 316.212, regarding the operation of golf carts, especially Section 7, whereby a golf cart may not be used by anyone under the age of 14. A Resident requested that the main roads be re-stripped. Mr. Staley pointed out that the District Engineer was presenting the Pavement Management Plan at the next meeting and once they identified what roads had another five- or ten-year life, they would approve re-stripping. Mr. Scheerer confirmed that most roads on the east side of the community were re-stripped, Reunion Boulevard was re-stripped five or six years ago and there was funding to re-stripe the west side.

There were no further comments from the Reunion West CDD Board Members, Ms. Adams opened the floor to comments from the Reunion East CDD Board Members. Ms. Wispelwey thanked Lieutenant MacAllaster for his service as there was a dramatic increase in reckless driving and traffic and wished the county would do more. Mr. Dryburgh felt that all major questions were asked and answered and was happy that they all came together for the public. There were no further comments from the Reunion East CDD Board Members, Ms. Adams opened the floor to comments from Zoom attendees. A Resident questioned the amount of arrests or tickets issued within Reunion for the past several years. Ms. Adams reminded the resident Lieutenant MacAllaster advised they could make a public records request with Osceola County. There being no further comments, Ms. Adams closed the public comment period and thanked Lieutenant MacAllaster for attending the meeting. Mr. Staley thanked everyone for their input.

- **Public Comment Period**

Prior to the start of the public comment period, Mr. Staley pointed out that there was an exceptionally large number of people in attendance compared to other meetings, due to the joint complaint dated June 2020 by the US Department of Justice and a Kingwood employee against various entities including Kingwood and the Settlement Agreement that was reached between the parties on March 20, 2023. There was a great deal of concern and anxiety within the community regarding the allegations of possible transactions between Kingwood and the Master HOA, which could impact expenses being charged by the HOA to property owners within Reunion. None of the allegations were proven since the settlement occurred. In addition, there was no mention of the Reunion West or Reunion East CDDs in the settlement or complaint. Based on Mr. Staley's experience, the agreement and contract approval process were sound; however, given concerns

raised in the community, recommended that the Board a review all the CDDs agreements and contracts between Kingwood and the HOA for the interest of transparency. Ms. Trucco reminded the Board that the statements in the lawsuit were allegations of the plaintiff who was a former employee of Kingwood and since settlement was reached prior to the trial, none of the allegations were proven as fact or truth by a court. Therefore, the Board should be cautious when speaking about the issue as defamation through libel, written falsity or slander, could be triggered when a false statement was made that caused an injury.

Ms. Adams opened the public comment period for agenda items and requested that residents state their name and address, limit their comments to three minutes. Resident Lester Blades of Euston Drive reviewed the copy of the Consent Order and questioned whether the purpose was to answer the question, as Paragraph 30 appeared to be an admission, which was usable in any legal proceeding. Ms. Trucco pointed out that the statements in the complaint were allegations only and could not provide an opinion on the Consent Order without reading it. Resident Richard Holmes clarified that Mr. Blades was referring to the statements of Mr. Anthony Carll and the concern that it raised, which at the Board was addressing. In his review of the complaint, there was no reference to Reunion East or Reunion West CDDs, but the implication from residents was Kingwood participating in some form of skimming, scam or inappropriate conduct that impacted contracts with the CDD, which explained the large number of residents at the meeting. When Mr. Holmes spoke to Mr. Carll, he denied everything, but according to the complaint and Settlement Agreement, Mr. Carll participated in unlawful conduct. He requested that the Board review all agreements with Kingwood. Mr. Holmes asked if the Heritage Crossing Community Center (HCCC) roof was replaced. Mr. Staley stated that it could be the HCCC roof or the roof for the condo units as the complaint was not clear. Mr. Greenstein explained that the only way that the CDD could be connected with Kingwood, was if it was for the HCCC roof; however, there was a competitive bid process for the roof repair and the Board likely selected the low bidder. A Resident attending by Zoom questioned who was responsible for trimming trees between the sidewalk and the road along Excitement Drive. Mr. Scheerer would speak to the resident after the meeting. The Resident noted a section of sidewalk was missing at the end of Corolla Court on common property. Mr. Scheerer explained that there was no sidewalk at the end of Corolla Court because it was considered an emergency access road not owned by the CDD. There being no further comments, Ms. Adams closed the public comment period.

**FOURTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Approval of Minutes of the March 9, 2023  
Board of Supervisors Meeting**

Ms. Adams presented the minutes of the March 9, 2023 Board of Supervisors meeting, which were included in the agenda package. Mr. Staley note on Page 15, “February 28, 2023” should be, “February 20, 2023.”

On MOTION by Mr. Barry seconded by Mr. Greenstein with all in favor the Minutes of the March 9, 2023 Board of Supervisors Meeting were approved as amended.

**FIFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Organizational Matters**

**A. Consideration of Resolution 2023-04 Providing for the Removal and Appointment of Assistant Treasurer**

Ms. Adams presented Resolution 2023-04, removing Ms. Teresa Viscarra as Assistant Treasurer and appointing Ms. Katie Costa as Assistant Treasurer, which was included in the agenda package. Ms. Viscarra served as the District’s accountant, but now worked remotely and the request was to appoint Ms. Katie Costa, the Director of Accounting Services for GMS, for the purpose of processing the District financial records.

On MOTION by Mr. Greenstein seconded by Ms. Harley with all in favor Resolution 2023-04 Providing for the Removal and Appointment of Assistant Treasurer was adopted.

**SIXTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Review of Agreements Between Reunion West CDD and Kingwood Entities (“Kingwood”) and the Reunion Resort and Club of Orlando Master Association (“Master HOA”)**

Mr. Staley met with the General Manager, Mr. Anthony Carll last Friday and as Mr. Holmes stated, Mr. Carll disagreed with the contents of the complaint and settlement and said there was no wrongdoing. Mr. Staley said that during his meeting with Mr. Carll he recommended that Mr. Carll seat two members of the community on the HOA Board, in order to re-build trust. For good order and in the interest of transparency, Mr. Staley recommended that the Board review the contracts between the Reunion West CDD and Kingwood, and the Reunion West CDD and the



Master Association. Mr. Witcher agreed that there was a need to perform a review, especially the Reunion Entities documents going back, as there was no other way to rebuild trust. Mr. Barry understood that Reunion West did not have an Audit agreement with Kingwood but could ensure that competitive bids were obtained. Ms. Trucco explained that outside of pursuing a lawsuit and going into a discovery stage, the District could look at the cost and determine whether or not it was reasonable. Mr. Greenstein concurred with having a review and would have similar discussion at the Reunion East CDD meeting. Mr. Greenstein envisioned from an administrative standpoint, each CDD conducting a review, but because of the relationship between Reunion East and Reunion West, recommended that there be a consolidated review. Ms. Harley believed as elected officials, they had the duty of care to those who elected them. Mr. Staley recommended that the Board:

1. Pass a motion to direct staff to prepare an inventory of existing agreements and contracts between the Reunion West CDD and Kingwood and the Master Association, including a summary of the financial terms and details for the May meeting.
2. Prepare a list of ongoing discussions and negotiations and provide at the May meeting.
3. The Reunion East CDD Board request staff to prepare an inventory for Reunion East CDD contracts.
4. Schedule a joint workshop for May 11, 2023 at 10:00 a.m., prior to their Board Meeting to discuss this matter further and present the inventory.

On MOTION by Mr. Greenstein seconded by Mr. Witcher with all in favor scheduling a joint workshop between the Reunion West and Reunion East CDD Boards on May 11, 2023 at 10:00 a.m. for the purpose of reviewing the Agreements Between the Reunion West CDD, Kingwood Entities (“Kingwood”), Reunion Resort and Club of Orlando Master Association was approved.

**SEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Consideration of Proposed Fee Increase  
for Yellowstone Landscape Services for  
Fiscal Year 2024**

Ms. Adams presented a letter from Yellowstone and a spreadsheet, which were included in the agenda package. In Fiscal Year 2020, the Board directed staff to solicit proposals for landscape services, the District received multiple competitive bids, including one from Florida Commercial Care and the Board awarded the contract to Yellowstone. However, subsequent to the engagement of Yellowstone, the Reunion West Encore neighborhood which had not been conveyed to the District at the time of the bid process, was later conveyed to Reunion West CDD. The District then entered into an agreement for landscape services with Creative North, which was outside the scope of the initial RFP. Mr. Staley asked if Creative North was independent from the HOA landscape company. Mr. Scheerer confirmed that they were handling the Spectrum project for Encore and were not associated with the other two landscaping contractors that were onsite. Mr. Staley questioned when the Yellowstone contract terminated. Ms. Adams stated the agreement with Yellowstone could be renewed for up to five years and each year, they presented an addendum to the agreement for a one-year renewal. However, this year, in advance of the renewal, Yellowstone requested a price increase from \$125,000 to \$126,518. The Board could either accept this price increase or direct staff to bid out landscape services. Mr. Witcher questioned whether Yellowstone was affiliated with Kingwood or their entities or were solely independent. Mr. Pete Wittman, Branch Manager of Yellowstone Landscape confirmed that they were a private entity and had no affiliation with Kingwood or their entities. Mr. Staley wanted to reduce the number of landscaping companies in Reunion, but Yellowstone did a great job, attended every meeting and answered all questions. Ms. Harley asked if the proposed increase was not until October. Ms. Adams confirmed that it would increase in October to coincide with the fiscal year.

Mr. Staley MOVED to approve the proposed fee increase for Yellowstone Landscape Services for Fiscal Year 2024 and Ms. Harley seconded the motion.

Resident Lester Blades of Euston Drive asked if the increase was in line with other Districts. Mr. Scheerer stated it appeared to be modest and in line with their 3% overall increase per the contract, especially with the increase in fuel costs. Some Districts had increases as much as 20%. Yellowstone was always available and did a great job for the community.

On VOICE VOTE with all in favor the proposed fee increase for Yellowstone Landscape Services for Fiscal Year 2024 was approved.

**EIGHTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Staff Reports**

• **Engineer (Item 9B)**

Mr. Boyd reported that all of the field work and inspections were underway for the Pavement Management Plan and would be completed early next week and provided at the next meeting.

*Mr. Boyd left the meeting.*

**A. Attorney**

Ms. Trucco reported that she and Ms. Carpenter had many phone calls regarding the Kingwood complaint since the last meeting. They received notice from the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) that they were initiating the taking process under Chapter 73 of the Florida Statutes, of slivers of land along the I-4 corridor that were owned by the CDD. This was the first step in the taking process, which was to provide notice to the property owner. The next step was to conduct an appraisal of the property and if they decide to proceed with the taking, FDOT would send the CDD a written offer, which should offer full compensation for the parcels that they would be taking including any damages. If the CDD did not accept the offer, FDOT had 30 days to file a condemnation lawsuit against the CDD. Since she was not a condemnation attorney, Ms. Trucco requested that the District ratify an Engagement Letter with Gray Robinson to represent the District, as they had extensive experience in condemnation proceedings, specifically on the I-4 corridor. They were engaged prior to this meeting, as the District had the ability under the law, send an attorney to attend the appraisal. Under the Florida Statutes, the State would pay the attorney's fees of the property owner and fees that Gray Robinson would incur. Ms. Trucco would continue to update the Board, once she had confirmation that the appraisal was completed.

Mr. Witcher MOVED to ratify the Engagement Agreement with Gray Robinson to represent the District in the Florida Department of Transportation taking of a sliver of parcels along I-4 and Old Lake Wilson Road and Ms. Harley seconded the motion.

Mr. Barry questioned the amount of land FDOT was taking along the I-4 corridor. Mr. Staley pointed out along I-4 towards ChampionsGate, there was a strip of land in front of a pond and one further back and requested that a map be circulated to the Board. Mr. Greenstein noted similar parcels were identified in the Reunion East CDD. Mr. Staley asked if the District was legally required to do anything with the compensation. Ms. Adams believed that it was considered unrestricted revenue.

On VOICE VOTE with all in favor Engagement Agreement with Gray Robinson to represent the District in the Florida Department of Transportation taking of a sliver of parcels along I-4 and Old Lake Wilson Road was ratified.

Mr. Witcher questioned the pre-file date on the Phase 3A Plat. Ms. Trucco reported that there was a pending encroachment issue with Kingwood and last week, they heard back from their attorney who was evaluating which tracts of land they were willing to transfer to the CDD by deed. Mr. Witcher asked if there was any progress on the HOA matter. Ms. Trucco recalled that there was a dispute on the responsibility for maintenance of the parkway areas, which was the green space located between residential lots in front of the right-of-way (ROW) that the CDD owned. It was included in the ROW, but the Reunion West POA was responsible for maintaining the parkway area it; however, they informed the District that they were no longer going to perform the maintenance. Their attorney was conducting a title report search on the documents for all of the parkways in dispute and at this time, she had not received an update, but the CDD had some options.

**B. Engineer**

This item was discussed.

**C. District Manager's Report**

**i. Action Items List**

Ms. Adams presented the Action Items List, which was included in the agenda package.

**ii. Approval of Check Register**

Ms. Adams presented the Check Register from March 1, 2023 through March 31, 2023 in the amount of \$355,244.87, which was included in the agenda package.

On MOTION by Mr. Greenstein seconded by Ms. Harley with all in favor the March Check Register was approved.

**iii. Balance Sheet and Income Statement**

Ms. Adams presented the Unaudited Financial Statements through February 28, 2023, which were included in the agenda package for informational purposes.

**iv. Replacement and Maintenance Plan**

Ms. Adams presented the Replacement and Maintenance Plan, which was included in the agenda package.

**D. Security Report**

Ms. Adams presented the March Security Report from Mr. Victor Vargas, Director of Reunion Security, which was emailed to the Board and included the enforcement of the District's parking rules, including the issuance of violations and any towing as well as other Reunion Security matters. Mr. Staley requested that the Reunion West Encore neighborhood be included in the legal summary about low-speed vehicles.

**NINTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Other Business**

There being none, the next item followed.

**TENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Supervisor's Requests**

Mr. Staley announced that there was a virtual meeting on the Poinciana Expressway expansion of County Roads 532 and 429 on April 25<sup>th</sup> and a public meeting at the hospital on April 27<sup>th</sup> and requested that an HOA e-blast be sent to residents. Ms. Adams advised staff would provide public notice to all individual HOA management companies. Mr. Staley spoke the county

regarding the Sinclair Road extension and noise abatement, landscaping and security for the extension would be considered during the design phase. The intersection of Tradition Boulevard, outside of the Sinclair gate, would have traffic lights from Sinclair Road to Reunion. The detailed design was estimated to take another two years; however, if they receive funding by the middle of 2025, the plans should be completed by March of 2027. With construction taking two years, it should be fully completed by 2030. The HOA requested volunteers to update the HOA Owners Handbook.

**ELEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Next Meeting Date – May 11<sup>th</sup>, 2023**

Ms. Adams stated the next meeting was scheduled for May 11, 2023 at 1:00 p.m.

**TWELFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Adjournment**

On MOTION by Ms. Harley seconded by Mr. Witcher with all in favor the meeting was adjourned.

  
Secretary/Assistant Secretary

  
Chairman/Vice Chairman